Climate Change, Water, and Cities: How Communities Can Adapt to Sea-Level Rise and Increased Flood Risk

Association of Environmental Professionals California State Conference Session, May 19, 2017

Moderator:

Alan Murphy Perkins Coie LLP

Panelists:

Diane Oshima Port of San Francisco

Brian Strong City and County of San Francisco

Geoff Smick WRA, Inc.





onesanfrancisco.org

There's only one San Francisco. Let's take care of it.

Climate Change, Water and Cities

Association of Environmental Professionals Brian Strong, Chief Resilience Officer, City and County of San Francisco

May 19, 2017



Resilience Planning in San Francisco



- Definition of resilience on the 100 RC model:
 - Consider disaster preparedness and recovery for both infrastructure and communities
 - Address systemic crises like economic downturns, poverty, and housing shortages
 - Incorporate slow-moving disasters such as climate change and sea level rise



Critical Challenges to Resilience Planning

- 3
 - Creating a sense of urgency
 - Long-term planning and implementation in a political environment
 - Focus on engineering and top-down isn't effective
 - Emphasis has been to engineer solutions with little thought about recovery
 - Need for greater community engagement
 - Issues of equity, displacement, housing, demographics, and population growth
 - Multiple challenges associated with water
 - Water and sewer systems are facing massive capital improvement needs
 - SLR is not your typical capital improvement projects
 - Sever storm frequency is also rising
 - Lack of incentives to encourage the private sector to address resiliency
 - Funding for mitigation and planning is non-existent

Natural Topography



4



Changing Land Use and Growth







Community Resilience

6

- Community
 Resilience –
 2012
- 38 different indicators



Addressing the Resilience Deficit



- Capital Plan
- Flooding
- Sea Level Rise Efforts
- Resilience By Design Competition
- Community Resilience





10-Year Capital Plan

8

Constrained 10-year plan of finance

- Created in 2006 to coordinate and prioritize infrastructure investments
- Objective funding principles
- Current plan proposes to spend \$35 billion through 2027
- Accomplishments
 - Over \$10 billion approved since 2006
 - \$3.5 billion GO bonds since 2008
- Ongoing Projects
 - Sea Wall / Sea Wall Fin. Wkg. Group
 - Sewer System Improvement Program
 - SFO Sea Wall and Improvements
 - Emergency Firefighting Water System
 - Transportation



SSIP Flood Resilience Study





SSIP Flood Resilience Study



10

Sea Level Rise Guidelines for Capital Planning

11

- Findings on best available science
- Incorporates exposure, sensitivity and adaptive capacity
- Trained over 100 project managers, easy-to-use checklist
- Paves way for private property

Year	Projections Likely levels of SLR	Ranges Unlikely but possible SLR				
2030	6 in	12 in				
2050	11 in	24 in				
2100	36 in	66 in				



GUIDANCE FOR INCORPORATING SEA LEVEL RISE INTO CAPITAL PLANNING IN SAN FRANCISCO: ASSESSING VULNERABILITY AND RISK TO SUPPORT ADAPTATION Prepared by the City and County of San Francisco Sea Level Rise Committee for the San Francisco Capital Planning Committee

Adopted by the Capital Planning Committee September 22, 2014

Sea Level Rise Guidelines for Capital Planning

12

Sea	Water Level	Extreme Tide (Storm Surge) Levels									
Level Rise	above MHHW	1-yr	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr			
0"	0	12	19	23	27	32	36	41			
+6"	6	18	25	29	33	38	42	47			
+12"	12	24	31	35	39	44	48	53			
+18"	18	30	37	41	45	50	54	59			
+24"	24	36	43	47	51	56	60	65			
+30"	30	42	49	53	57	62	66	71			
+36"	36	48	55	59	63	68	72	77			
+42"	42	54	61	65	69	74	78	83			
+48"	48	60	67	71	75	80	84	89			
+54"	54	66	73	77	81	86	90	95			
+60"	60	72	79	83	87	92	96	101			
+66"	66	78	85	89	93	98	102	107			

SLR Action & Adaptation Plan

Cost of Inaction

YEAR 2100	PRIVATE PROPERTY	PUBLIC PROPERTY	TOTAL EXPOSURE		
66" (SLR)	\$19 Billion	\$35 Billion	\$54 Billion		
108" (SLR + storm surge)	\$38 Billion	\$37 Billion	\$75 Billion		

Note: <u>Dollar amounts indicate asset replacement cost only</u>. Numbers are in today's dollars and reflect upper range, end-of-century projections without adaptation or action.

SLR Action & Adaptation Plan

14



SLR Action & Adaptation Plan





Resilience by Design

- Regional
- Open competition
- 10 Multi-disciplinary teams
- Replicable and implementable
- Science-based
- Include community engagement and issues of equity





Neighborhood Empowerment Network (NEN)

17

- Disaster response cannot be driven by government alone
- Community-based partners expand government's reach
- NEN organizes local institutions to activate once disaster strikes
- Community leadership academy in development
- Nine hubs in southern portion of San Francsico







Questions & Comments

18

www.onesanfrancisco.org

Brian Strong, Director 554-5165



Projected sea level rise: mid-century Building Our Future

+18 inches | 2040 High Scenario | 2068 Likely Scenario



Projected sea level rise: end of century on Future

+66 inches | 2100 High Scenario | 2160 Likely Scenario



Impacts:

- Daily flooding on Embarcadero and Finger Piers
- Downtown severely impacted
- BART and MUNI and other vital City infrastructure subject to untenable flood risk exposure
- Original shoreline reestablished in most areas

BART/Muni Tunnel Embarcadero Station





SFWG Work Process

- 8 meetings between November 2016 and April 2017
- Work to date:
 - Research on 48 possible funding strategies
 - Establishment of 11 evaluation criteria
 - Analysis of 48 funding strategies based on the criteria
 - Drafting recommendations and report (in progress)
- \$350 million G.O. Bond Measure in the proposed Capital Plan



SFWG Evaluation Process

- **5** Considerations
 - Revenue Generating
 Potential
- Administrative
 Complexity
- Political Feasibility
- Equity/Cost Burden
- Red, yellow, or green scale for strengths and weaknesses

_	т.	•
Π.	lim	าเทศ
		mg

		1	Revenue	1	1		1		1		1		1
		Source of	Generating	Cost of	Long Term	Flexibility of		Tradeoffs for	State/Federal	Local/Regional	Administrative	Equity/Cost	
Option	Funding Strategy	Funds	Potential ***	Funds	Sustainability	Funds	Timing	Other City Needs	Political Feasability	Political Feasibility	Complexity	Burden	Weighted Average
E25	Port IFD				- 4							<u></u>	4.77
E24	CFD/Mello-Roos												4.46
C14	USACE – CAP 103 Program			4	3	3							4.38
A2	State Share of Property Tax Increment												4.31
E22	GO Bonds					2		1	2				4.23
G35	Cap & Trade Program Funding												4.23
F29	Sales Tax Increase										2		4.15
H40	Hotel Assessment				3			1	2		2		4.08
A1	State Resilience GO Bond				2	2							4.00
D21	Parking Revenues/Financing				3	3			2				4.00
E23	Assessment District												4.00
C13	USACE – General Investigation					3						2	3.85
H47	Philanthropy		2		2		2						3.77
C11	Historic Tax Credits				2	2						2	3.77
D19	Tax/Fee on Marina Uses												3.62
B8	Cruise Tickets Surcharge											4	3.54
H44	Advertising			2							2		3.46
G34	RM3- Bridge Tolls					1	2				2	3	3.46
D17	Vehicle License Fee Increase				2								3.38
F30	Parcel Tax				2	2			2		2		3.38
H45	Naming Rights				2		1				2		3.31
G37	Congestion Pricing						2		2	2			3.15
H46	Public Private Partnerships												3.08
F32	Utility User Tax Surcharge			2			2						2.92
D20	Transit Impact Development Fee					2	2				2	1	2.77
C12	Federal Transportation Funding - TIFIA								>				2.77
F31	Real Estate Transfer Tax Increase		3		3								2.69
B5	Surcharge on Event Tickets								2				2.62
H43	Environmental Impact Bonds				2	1	2		2		2	2	2.62
F27	Sale/Lease Increment of Port Assets	2	2	>			2						2.62
G36	Regional Gas Tax						2			2		3	2.46
B7	Increase Ferry Charges				2	1	2						2.31
C9	Hazard Mitigation Grants												2.31
H48	Pension Plan Investment								>		2	2	2 31
A4	Geologic Hazard Abatement Districts												2.23
H41	Infrastructure Trust Bank						-		>				2.00
B6	Transit Pass Transfer Fee												1.00
F28	Insurance Value Canture/Resilience Bond												1.00



SFWG List of Funding Strategies

23

The SFWG analyzed 48 local, regional, state, and federal possible funding strategies:

- State Resilience G.O. Bond
- State Share of Property Tax Increment
- Incorporate into Pier Rehab Projects
- Geologic Hazard Abatement Districts (GHADs)
- Surcharge on Event Tickets
- Transit Pass Transfer Fee
- Increase Ferry Charges
- Cruise Ticket Surcharge
- Hazard Mitigation Grants
- National Foundation Grants
- Historic Tax Credits
- Federal Transportation Funding
- Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) General Investigation
- USACE CAP 103 Program
- DHS Office of Infrastructure Protection

- Commuter Transportation Tax
- Vehicle License Fee Increase
- Tax/Fee on Auto Sales
- Tax/Fee on Marina Uses
- Transit Impact Development Fee
- Increased Parking Revenues
- G.O. Bonds
- Assessment District
- CFD/Mello-Roos
- Port IFD
- IRFDs
- Sale/Lease Increment of Port Assets
- Insurance Value Capture/Resilience Bonds
- Sales Tax Increase
- Parcel Tax
- Real Estate Transfer Tax Increase
- Utility User Tax Surcharge

- Business License Tax Surcharge
- RM3- Bridge Tolls
- Cap & Trade Program Funding
- Regional Gas Tax
- Congestion Pricing
- Tax/Fee on Rental Cars
- Business Gross Receipts Tax Surcharge
- Hotel Assessment
- Infrastructure Trust Bank
- Green/Climate Bonds
- Environmental Impact Bonds
- Advertising
- Naming Rights
- Public Private Partnerships
- Philanthropy
- Pension Plan Investment

Port of San Francisco

* * ····

CHALLENGES OPPORTUNITIES AEP Conference ° May 19, 2017

PORT or SAN FRANCISCO

Sea Level Rise & Flood Vulnerability Sea Level in San Francisco Increased 8 inches over last 100 yrs Is predicted to increase 12-24 inches by 2050 36-66 inches by 2100

Sea Level Rise

100 Year Flood Vulnerability

+12 inches | 2030 High Scenario | 2050 Likely Scenario



Sea Level Rise

100 Year Flood Vulnerability



Sea Level Rise & Flood Vulnerability Pier 14 in King Tides Today



Downtown Ferry Terminal Expansion Project



San Francisco Ferry Terminal Expansion Project

Prepared for the Water Emergency Transportation Authority and the Port of San Francisco by ROMA Design Group and Simpson Gumpertz & Heger

Raising the Deck: **Downtown Ferry Terminal Expansion Project**



Pier 22 ½ Fireboat Station Expansion Project



t: AERIAL PHOTO OF EXISTING SITE



FIRESTATION 35 - THE BOATHC

Concrete Barge



Concrete Barge Deck Slab w/Buoyancy Tanks



Concrete Barge with Guide Piles and Ramp







FIRESTATION 35 - THE BOATHOUSE JULY 12TH, 2016

Soft Shore Edge to the South



Crane Cove Park: Managed Retreat


Earthquake Vulnerability

72% Probability of Major Earthquake by 2044

Embarcadero Seawall Resiliency Project

3 Miles long • 500+ acres • Built over 40 years

Fisherman's Wharf

1878 - 1906

1850's Shoreline

Mission Creek

1906 - 1916

The Embarcadero Historic District



City Form & Identity Bulkhead Buildings



City Form & Identity Finger Piers



Typical Construction



Earthquake Vulnerability Liquefaction, Lateral Spreading & Settlement



Initial Concepts Option: Ground Improvement Under Seawall

- Ground Improvement Under Rock Dike
- Repair and Retrofit Existing Bulkhead Wharf & Wall



Initial Concepts Option: Bulkhead Wharf Replacement

- Temporarily Relocate Bulkhead Buildings
- Demolish existing Bulkhead Wharf & Wall
- Construct new Bulkhead Wharf & Wall, Stabilize Rock Dike
 - Set New Elevation & Build in Capacity for Raising in Future



Initial Concepts Option: New Bayward Seawall

- New Bayward Seawall, Construct from Waterside, New Elevation
- Pumpable Lightweight Fill Under Bulkhead Wharf



Waterfront Planning Public Process



Thank You









Regulatory Primer

- FEDERAL
 - Corps of Engineers
- STATE
 - Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC)
 - Regional Water Quality Control Board
- LOCAL
 - City/County Regulations



Sea Level Rise – Regulatory Primer





Tidal Inundation & Correlation with Habitat Marsh zones are correlated to the frequency of tidal inundation.











Zone	Indicator Plant Species	Average Low (Ft.)	Average High (Ft.)	Elevation Range (Ft.)
Low Marsh	Cordgrass	2.37	4.78	2.41
High Marsh	Pickleweed	4.78	6.83	2.05
Transition	Grindelia	6.55	8.16	1.61
Upland	Coyote Brush	7.62	N/A	N/A













Resiliency Strategies – Natural or Engineered?







Resiliency Strategies – Natural or Engineered?

Living Shorelines

Waves decreased with healthy coastal habitats.



Waves with degraded coastal habitats.



© 2013 Copyright The Nature Conservancy





Case Study: Dotson Family (Breuner) Marsh







Dotson Marsh – Historic Marsh Filling







Depth to Tidal (High) Marsh Elevation







Grading Plan







Grading Plan 3D Hillshade







Grading Plan 3D Hillshade + SLR







Grading Plan Cross Section w Sea Level Rise



projected sea level rise 2080

vertically exaggerated, not to scale



Restoration Construction - 2014







Case Study: India Basin





Existing Conditions







Project Rendering







India Basin: Beach 2017







India Basin: Beach 2050









India Basin: Beach 2100







India Basin: Tidal Marsh 2017



Sionic




India Basin: Tidal Marsh 2050







India Basin: Tidal Marsh 2100







India Basin: Cove 2017



Sionic





India Basin: Cove 2050







India Basin: Cove 2100







- Change in state and federal regulatory policy
- Regional framework for harmonizing local jurisdictions
- Some real out-of-the-box thinking





Horizontal Levee







Modernized Seawalls





Engineering Marvels



















King Tide - January 2017







San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC)

- BCDC has planning and regulatory authority over tidal areas of the Bay and over the 100-foot shoreline band.
 - Maximum feasible public access
 - Minimum necessary placement of fill
- In 2011, BCDC amended the San Francisco Bay Plan to account for expected impacts of climate change on Bay

Policies for a Rising Bay Project

- *Stated goal*: "Collaboratively evaluate BCDC's fill policies in light of sea level rise and develop guidance for the Commission, staff and project proponents to promote shoreline resilience"
- Concerns were raised that BCDC laws and policies might impede resilience and adaptation efforts, especially natural shoreline protection approaches
- Final report issued in November 2016
- Ongoing implementation process

Climate Change, Water, and Cities: How Communities Can Adapt to Sea-Level Rise and Increased Flood Risk

Association of Environmental Professionals California State Conference Session, May 19, 2017

Moderator:

Alan Murphy Perkins Coie LLP

Panelists:

Diane Oshima Port of San Francisco

Brian Strong City and County of San Francisco

Geoff Smick WRA, Inc.